Edward Egan			Fall 2010										28 respondents of 60		
ITEMS	FREQUENCIES														
					[Omit	s]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[#]	Mean	Std Dev	
1. Well-prepared and organ				6		0	2	2	10	8	22	4.09	0.92		
2. Self-confident, thorough				2		0 -	1	1	10	14	26	4.42	0.76		
3. Uses blackboard clearly					2		0	0	4	9	13	26	4.35	0.75	
4. Can be heard by everyone in class					2		0	0	1	11	14	26	4.50	0.58	
5. Easy to understand					2		0	2	7	9	8	26	3.88	0.95	
6. Encourages questions/discussions					5		0	0	4	6	13	23	4.39	0.78	
7. Answers questions					3		0	0	4	11	10	25	4.24	0.72	
8. Holds regular office hour				9		0	0	0	5	14	19	4.74	0.45		
9. Dynamic and enthusiastic				4		0	0	4	11	9	24	4.21	0.72		
10. Has interest in students				4		0	0	1	10	13	24	4.50	0.59		
11. Aware if students have				6		0	1	3	9	9	22	4.18	0.85		
12. Valued for advice					17		0	0	2	3	6	11	4.36	0.81	
OVERALL RATIN	GS					FRE	QUEN	CIES							
		[0	mits]	[1]	[2	:1	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[#]	Mean	Std Dev	
1. Overall effectiveness?			2	0	(_	1	5	7	11	2	26	5.31	1.01	
2. How worthwhile was section?			6	1	1		0	7	3	7	3	22	4.95	1.56	
		[O ₁	mits]	[YES]	[NO]			% - Yes	% - N	ĺo					
3. Taking because it's required?		_	3	_23	2			92.0%	8.0%	0					
														_	
	[Omits]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[#]	Mean	Std Dev	
4. Outside-class time (hours/week)	2	2	4	9	5	3	3	0	0	0	0	26	5.46	1.42	
			[Omits] [20	0]	[40]	[60]	[80]	[10	00]		[#]	Mean	Std Dev	
5. % of discussion sections attended			2	1	0	5	3	5		3		26	49.2	29.52	

Edward Egan			Fall 2010										51 respondents of 61		
ITEMS	FREQUENCIES														
					[Omi	ts]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[#]	Mean	Std Dev	
1. Well-prepared and organized					6		0	0	8	12	24	44	4.36	0.78	
2. Self-confident, thorough knowledge					6		0	0	4	12	29	45	4.56	0.66	
3. Uses blackboard clearly					7		0	0	4	14	26	44	4.50	0.66	
4. Can be heard by everyone in class					6		0	2	1	11	31	45	4.58	0.75	
5. Easy to understand					6		0	1	9	12	23	45	4.27	0.86	
6. Encourages questions/discussions					7		0	0	3	12	29	44	4.59	0.62	
7. Answers questions					5		0	2	2	19	23	46	4.37	0.77	
8. Holds regular office hours					11		00	0	0	7	32	39	4.82	0.39	
9. Dynamic and enthusiastic				5		00	0	6	7	33	46	4.59	0.72		
10. Has interest in students				6		0	2	5	5	33	45	4.53	0.87		
11. Aware if students have difficulty					5		0	2	6	16	22	46	4.26	0.85	
12. Valued for advice					22		0	3	6	5	15	29	4.10	1.08	
OVERALL RATINGS						FRE	EQUEN	CIES							
		[C	mits]	[1]	[2	2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[#]	Mean	Std Dev	
1. Overall effectiveness?			3	0	()	1	9	8	15	15	48	5.71	1.17	
2. How worthwhile was section?			4	0	()	2	8	13	7	17	47	5.62	1.26	
		[O ₁	mits]	[YES]	[NO]			% - Yes	% - N	0					
3. Taking because it's required?			6	44	1			97.8%	2.2%	,)					
	[Omits]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[#]	Mean	Std Dev	
4. Outside-class time (hours/week)	1	4	9	12	11	2	6	0	3	0	3	50	6.04	2.33	
			[Omits	s] [2	0]	[40]	[60]	[80]	[10	00]		[#]	Mean	Std Dev	
5. % of discussion sections attended			4	1	5	6	7	13	6	5		47	55.3	29.55	